

THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL COURTS IN ENFORCING ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

Maria Martinez



Abstract *The enforcement of environmental law at the international level has become one of the most critical issues in global governance. While states remain the primary actors responsible for implementing environmental obligations, international courts and tribunals increasingly serve as key institutions for accountability and dispute resolution. This article explores the evolving role of international courts in shaping, interpreting, and enforcing environmental law. It examines landmark cases, analyzes the strengths and limitations of judicial enforcement, and considers the balance between state sovereignty and global environmental responsibility. The study argues that while international adjudication cannot replace political cooperation, it enhances legitimacy, fosters compliance, and helps develop a more coherent body of environmental jurisprudence. Strengthening the role of courts remains vital for advancing sustainability and justice in the era of climate change.*

Keywords *International Courts, Environmental Law, Global Governance, International Justice, ICJ, ITLOS, Climate Change Litigation, Environmental Treaties, Sustainable Development, Legal Enforcement*

Environmental protection has emerged as one of the defining legal and political challenges of the twenty-first century. As the global community faces escalating threats from climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution, the demand for effective international enforcement mechanisms has intensified. Treaties and conventions have established important principles and obligations, yet compliance often depends on voluntary implementation. This gap between law and practice has prompted greater reliance on international courts and tribunals to ensure that states and corporations meet their environmental commitments.

The development of environmental adjudication reflects a broader transformation in international law — one where accountability, transparency, and cooperation increasingly shape the global order. Institutions such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ), the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS), and regional courts have begun to define the contours

of environmental responsibility. Their decisions contribute to the evolution of international norms, even when enforcement remains limited by state sovereignty.

This article examines how international courts promote environmental compliance, interpret complex scientific and legal issues, and influence state behavior. It explores key cases, emerging trends, and the balance between judicial enforcement and diplomatic cooperation, offering a nuanced view of how international law seeks to safeguard the planet.

The rise of international environmental adjudication coincided with the broader expansion of international law after World War II. The creation of the United Nations in 1945 and subsequent treaties on human rights and natural resources provided the foundation for a more rule-based global order. However, environmental law remained fragmented until the 1970s, when the Stockholm Conference of 1972 formally recognized the link between environmental protection and international peace.¹

Since then, environmental disputes have increasingly come before international courts and tribunals. The ICJ, in particular, has played a central role through cases involving transboundary pollution, shared natural resources, and environmental impact assessments. These judicial interventions have not only clarified state responsibilities but also expanded the scope of customary international law.

The ICJ is the principal judicial organ of the United Nations and serves as a forum for resolving disputes between states. Although its jurisdiction is based on state consent, it has issued several landmark rulings that have shaped environmental law.

The *Trail Smelter Arbitration* (1938–1941), while predating the ICJ, laid the foundation for the principle that states must prevent activities within their jurisdiction from causing environmental harm to other states. Later, the ICJ reaffirmed this principle in the *Corfu Channel* case and in *Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay, 2010)*, where it recognized environmental impact assessment as a legal obligation.²

More recently, the Court has been asked to issue advisory opinions on climate change obligations, reflecting a growing trend toward judicial involvement in global environmental governance. These developments underscore how courts serve as both interpreters and enforcers of international environmental norms.

¹ United Nations, Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment (1972), <https://www.unep.org/events/conference/united-nations-conference-human-environment-stockholm-1972>

² ICJ, *Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay)*, Judgment of 20 April 2010, <https://www.icj-cij.org/en/case/135>

Beyond the ICJ, other bodies have contributed significantly to environmental adjudication. The **International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS)**, established under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), addresses marine and climate-related disputes. In the *Request for an Advisory Opinion (Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission, 2015)*, ITLOS confirmed that states have an obligation to ensure sustainable management of marine resources.³

Regional courts — such as the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACHR) — have increasingly recognized environmental rights as part of human rights frameworks. These bodies interpret the right to life, health, and dignity in light of environmental degradation, reinforcing the notion that environmental protection is integral to human well-being.

Climate change litigation has become a new frontier in international and domestic courts. States, organizations, and individuals are turning to judicial mechanisms to demand accountability for climate inaction. These cases often rely on international norms, human rights obligations, and scientific evidence to press for compliance.

Recent legal scholarship highlights that international courts are gradually adapting their procedures to handle complex environmental claims and integrate expert testimony.⁴

While enforcement remains limited, the symbolic and normative power of such judgments is profound. They influence domestic policy, shape treaty interpretation, and signal growing judicial readiness to address global ecological challenges.

Legal innovation is central to improving the enforcement of environmental law. Comparative analysis between jurisdictions reveals diverse approaches to environmental accountability. For example, the United States has relied heavily on domestic environmental statutes and citizen suits, while other countries integrate sustainability principles into constitutional law.

Emerging economies, including Uzbekistan, are beginning to incorporate environmental standards into their legal systems, reflecting a global diffusion of environmental governance models.

^{^5} (Safoev, S., *Legal Innovation in Environmental Policy: A Comparative Study of the United States and Uzbekistan*, *Am. J. Educ. & Learning*, 3(9), 16–20 (2025))

³ ITLOS, *Request for an Advisory Opinion Submitted by the Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission (SRFC)*, Advisory Opinion, 2 April 2015, <https://www.itlos.org/en/main/cases/list-of-cases/>

⁴ Safoev, S., *International Climate Change Law: Evolving Legal Frameworks and Future Directions*, *J. Multidisciplinary Bulletin*, 8(9), 25–29 (2025)

International courts increasingly draw upon these domestic innovations when interpreting environmental obligations. The dialogue between national and international systems enriches jurisprudence and strengthens collective environmental accountability.

International courts not only enforce specific treaties but also advance the broader objectives of sustainable development. The integration of environmental protection, economic growth, and social equity has become a recurring theme in judicial reasoning. The ICJ and other tribunals have begun referencing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as interpretative tools to ensure that environmental decisions align with long-term global priorities.⁵

By linking environmental protection to development and human rights, international courts help solidify the normative foundation for sustainability. This interconnection reinforces the moral legitimacy of environmental law and deepens cooperation between global institutions.

Despite progress, significant challenges remain. The voluntary nature of international law means that courts rely on state consent for jurisdiction and compliance. Enforcement of judgments depends largely on diplomatic and reputational pressure rather than coercive mechanisms.

Moreover, limited resources, procedural complexities, and political sensitivities often constrain the reach of judicial bodies. Scholars argue that strengthening compliance committees, enhancing transparency, and developing follow-up mechanisms could improve effectiveness. Legal frameworks that promote renewable energy and green policy reforms are essential to achieving lasting environmental justice.⁶

The growing demand for advisory opinions on environmental issues marks a new chapter in the role of international courts. The **International Court of Justice** and **ITLOS** have recently been requested to clarify states' legal obligations regarding climate change mitigation and protection of marine environments. Such opinions, while non-binding, shape state behavior and contribute to the gradual crystallization of customary international law.

Expanding advisory jurisdiction and promoting collaboration between international and regional courts could enhance coherence in environmental jurisprudence. These trends point toward a future where judicial bodies play an increasingly proactive role in global environmental governance.

International courts and tribunals have emerged as vital actors in the enforcement of environmental law. Through their rulings and advisory opinions, they help define state obligations, encourage compliance, and promote sustainable development. While their authority is constrained

⁵ United Nations, Sustainable Development Goals, <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>

⁶ Safoev, S., *Laws on Green Energy and Climate Change*, *Am. J. Educ. & Learning*, 2(5), 470–474 (2024)

by jurisdictional and political limits, their influence in shaping international norms continues to grow.

The evolution of environmental adjudication demonstrates that law remains a powerful tool for cooperation, accountability, and justice. Strengthening the institutional capacity of international courts, fostering cross-jurisdictional collaboration, and supporting developing nations' participation will be crucial to ensuring that environmental law serves its ultimate goal — the protection of the planet for present and future generations

References

1. United Nations, *Stockholm Declaration on the Human Environment* (1972), <https://www.unep.org/events/conference/united-nations-conference-human-environment-stockholm-1972>
2. ICJ, *Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay)*, Judgment of 20 April 2010, <https://www.icj-cij.org/en/case/135>
3. ITLOS, *Request for an Advisory Opinion Submitted by the Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission (SRFC)*, Advisory Opinion, 2 April 2015, <https://www.itlos.org/en/main/cases/list-of-cases/>
- Safoev, S. (2025). *International Climate Change Law: Evolving Legal Frameworks and Future Directions*. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Bulletin*, 8(9), 25–29.
4. Safoev, S. (2025). *Legal Innovation in Environmental Policy: A Comparative Study of the United States and Uzbekistan*. *American Journal of Education and Learning*, 3(9), 16–20.
5. United Nations, *Sustainable Development Goals*, <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>
6. Safoev, S. (2024). *Laws on Green Energy and Climate Change*. *American Journal of Education and Learning*, 2(5), 470–474.
7. UNEP, *Environmental Rule of Law: First Global Report* (2019), <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/environmental-rule-law-first-global-report>
8. Sands, P., Peel, J., *Principles of International Environmental Law* (4th ed., Cambridge University Press, 2018)
9. Kiss, A. & Shelton, D., *Guide to International Environmental Law* (Martinus Nijhoff, 2007)