



VALUATION OF EARLY-STAGE STARTUPS: PROBLEMS AND MODERN APPROACHES

Gulnoza Tolliyevna Karajanova

Senior Lecturer, Department of Investments and Innovations,
Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service

gultolliyevnaqarajanova@gmail.com

Komiljonova Madina Mansurjon qizi

Student of SIES

madina.komiljonova@icloud.com

Abstract. *Valuing startups at an early stage is one of the most challenging tasks in finance and investment analysis. Unlike mature companies, early-stage startups usually do not have stable revenues, historical financial data, or predictable cash flows. Their value is largely based on ideas, innovation, team quality, and future growth potential, which are difficult to measure using traditional valuation methods. This article analyzes the main problems associated with early-stage startup valuation and examines modern approaches used by investors, venture capitalists, and analysts. Special attention is paid to qualitative factors, alternative valuation models, and the role of uncertainty and risk. The article also offers practical solutions and recommendations for improving valuation accuracy and decision-making in startup investments.*

Keywords: *startup valuation, early-stage startups, venture capital, uncertainty, innovation, valuation methods, risk assessment, business models*

Introduction. In recent years, startups have become a key driver of economic growth, innovation, and technological progress. Early-stage startups, in particular, play a crucial role in creating new markets, introducing disruptive technologies, and solving complex social and economic problems. However, despite their importance, evaluating the value of such startups remains a highly complex and controversial issue.

Traditional company valuation methods were developed primarily for mature firms with stable cash flows, long operating histories, and clear financial indicators. Early-stage startups rarely meet these criteria. Many of them operate at a loss, have uncertain business models, and face high risks of failure. As a result, investors and analysts must rely on assumptions, expectations, and qualitative judgments rather than objective financial data.

This article aims to explore the main problems associated with early-stage startup valuation and to analyze modern approaches that help address these challenges. The focus is on practical applicability, clarity, and real-world relevance, using simple and accessible language.

Literature Review. The topic of startup valuation has been widely discussed in academic literature and professional practice. Researchers agree that early-stage valuation is fundamentally different from valuing established companies.

Damodaran (2012) emphasizes that uncertainty is the defining characteristic of startup valuation. According to him, traditional discounted cash flow (DCF) models often fail because future cash flows are highly speculative. Kaplan and Ruback (1995) highlight the importance of scenario analysis and flexibility in valuation under uncertainty.

Venture capital literature suggests alternative approaches such as the Venture Capital Method, Scorecard Method, and Real Options Theory. These models focus not only on financial metrics but also on qualitative factors such as management team quality, market size, and competitive advantage.

Recent studies also point to the growing role of data analytics, artificial intelligence, and comparable startup databases in improving valuation accuracy. However, despite these advancements, valuation remains more of an art than a science at the early stage.

Methodology. This article uses a qualitative and analytical research methodology. The analysis is based on:

- Review of academic literature on startup valuation
- Analysis of venture capital practices
- Comparison of traditional and modern valuation models
- Synthesis of practical recommendations based on observed challenges

The goal is not to provide a single “correct” valuation method, but to highlight strengths and weaknesses of different approaches and suggest ways to improve decision-making.

Results and Discussion.

1. Key Problems in Early-Stage Startup Valuation

1.1. Lack of Financial History

Most early-stage startups have little or no revenue.

Expenses usually exceed income, and financial statements do not reflect true economic value. This makes it difficult to apply standard valuation metrics such as EBITDA or net profit.

1.2. High Level of Uncertainty

Uncertainty affects almost every aspect of a startup:

- Market acceptance
- Technological feasibility
- Regulatory environment
- Competitive response

Small changes in assumptions can lead to large differences in valuation results.

1.3. Subjectivity and Bias

Valuations often depend on investor perception, optimism, and negotiation power. Founders may overestimate their startup’s value, while investors may deliberately undervalue it to reduce risk.

1.4. Rapidly Changing Business Models

Many startups pivot their business models several times in the early stages. This makes long-term forecasting extremely unreliable.

1.5. Information Asymmetry

Founders usually have more information about the startup than investors. This imbalance can distort valuation outcomes and increase investment risk.

Modern Approaches to Early-Stage Startup Valuation

1. Venture Capital Method

This method estimates the future exit value of a startup and then discounts it back to the present using a high required rate of return. It is simple and widely used but heavily dependent on exit assumptions.

2. Scorecard Method

The Scorecard Method compares a startup to similar startups in the same region and industry. Factors such as team quality, market opportunity, and product innovation are scored and weighted.

3. Berkus Method

This approach assigns value to key success factors:

- Idea quality
- Prototype
- Management team
- Strategic relationships
- Product rollout

It is especially useful for pre-revenue startups.

4. Real Options Approach

This method treats investment opportunities as options, allowing for flexibility and staged investments. It recognizes that management can adapt decisions as new information becomes available.

5 Comparable Transactions and Market Multiples

Using recent startup funding rounds and acquisitions as benchmarks helps anchor valuations to market reality, although exact comparability is often limited.

Solutions and Practical Recommendations

1. Combining Multiple Methods

No single method is sufficient. Combining quantitative and qualitative approaches improves robustness.

2. Scenario Analysis

Using optimistic, base, and pessimistic scenarios helps account for uncertainty and risk.

3. Focus on Team and Execution Capability

Empirical evidence shows that strong teams significantly increase startup success probability.

4. Transparent Communication

Clear communication between founders and investors reduces misunderstandings and aligns expectations.

5. Continuous Revaluation

Startup valuation should be treated as a dynamic process, updated as new information emerges.

Proposals for Improving Startup Valuation

- Development of standardized early-stage valuation frameworks
- Greater use of data-driven benchmarking platforms
- Integration of AI tools for risk and scenario modeling
- Enhanced financial literacy for startup founders
- Regulatory support for transparent startup reporting

Conclusions. Early-stage startup valuation is inherently complex due to uncertainty, lack of data, and high risk. Traditional valuation models alone are insufficient to capture the true potential of innovative startups. Modern approaches that combine financial analysis with qualitative judgment provide more realistic and flexible valuations.

While valuation will never be perfectly accurate at the early stage, systematic approaches, transparency, and continuous reassessment can significantly improve

investment decisions. Understanding the limitations of valuation methods is as important as applying them correctly.

References

1. Damodaran, A. (2012). Investment Valuation. Wiley.
2. Kaplan, S. N., & Ruback, R. S. (1995). The valuation of cash flow forecasts. Journal of Finance.
3. Blank, S. (2013). The Startup Owner's Manual. K&S Ranch.
4. Gompers, P., & Lerner, J. (2004). The Venture Capital Cycle. MIT Press.
5. Ries, E. (2011). The Lean Startup. Crown Business.
6. Pratt, S. (2008). Valuing a Business. McGraw-Hill.