



BRIDGING TRADITION AND MODERNITY THROUGH NEW-METHOD SCHOOLS IN CENTRAL ASIA

Roza Saakyan

PhD student and teacher of “Foreign language and social sciences” department,

Asia International University

E-mail: urevnaroza9@gmail.com

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-0877-167X

Abstract. *The emergence of a new school method in Central Asia in the late 19th and early 20th centuries marked a turning point in the region's educational and intellectual life. Associated with the Jadid reform movement, these schools sought to modernize traditional Islamic education by employing new teaching methods, more in-depth academic programs, and modern materials.*

The article examines their improved development and sociocultural impact. It argues that schools are creating new methods for promoting literacy, incorporating secular subjects, and fostering the emergence of a new generation of intellectuals. At the same time, they have stimulated discussions about tradition, modernity, and cultural identity, playing a key role in progressive Central Asian society.

Keywords: *Jadidism, new-Method schools, Central Asia, educational reform, modernization, literacy, islamic education, pedagogical innovation, intellectual history, cultural transformation.*

Introduction. Education arose from the fundamental principles by which society transmits knowledge, values, and cultural traditions. In Central Asia, before the 19th century, certain institutions, particularly maktab and madrassas, influenced the educational system. These institutions, which emphasized the dominant position of the traditional Islamic educational system, played a decisive role in maintaining religious education and cultural continuity from generation to generation. Students typically received extensive instruction in the Quran, Arabic grammar, theology, and classical Islamic texts. Although this system laid the foundation for religious

scholarship, its pedagogical methods often relied on memorization rather than analytical analysis.

However, by the 19th century, Central Asian societies had undergone significant transformations. Political events, particularly the incorporation of much of the region into the Russian Empire, and new administrative, economic, and cultural conditions contributed to the emergence of new contacts with traditional institutions, the introduction of intellectual achievements and modern technologies, and the gradual development of a Central Asian elite with alternative models of education and governance. These encounters prompted debates among Muslim intellectuals about the future of their societies and the role of education in shaping social development.

This fifth group of reformist thinkers began the transformation of the educational system. These intellectuals argued that traditional teaching methods were no longer adequate to prepare students for interaction with the modern world. According to the generally accepted view, education should impart not only knowledge but also practical skills, scientific understanding, and a broader perspective on various events. This intellectual movement became known as Jadidism, derived from the Arabic word *jadid*, meaning “new”. Jadid reformers visited an educational institution where significant advances in progress and cultural renewal had been achieved. They agreed that educational reform methods and scientific programs would help create a more informed and competent society, capable of navigating the political and economic realities of the modern era.

One of the most important practical manifestations of the Jadids' reformist ideas was the creation of schools of the new method, often referred to as *usul-i-jadid* schools. These institutions introduced innovative pedagogical approaches that were fundamentally different from the traditional educational model. The most significant innovation was the use of phonetic methods for teaching reading and writing, which

allowed students to acquire literacy much more quickly than with traditional methods based on memorization.

In addition to pedagogical reforms, the New Method schools expanded the curriculum to include subjects rarely taught in traditional religious schools. Mathematics, geography, history, and elements of the natural sciences were gradually introduced alongside religious education. The goal was not to replace Islamic education, but to complement it with knowledge that would prepare students for participation in a rapidly modernizing world. The creation of these schools also reflected broader cultural aspirations. Jadid reformers hoped that modern education would strengthen cultural identity while encouraging openness to new ideas. Through the publication of textbooks, newspapers, and literary works, they sought to cultivate a new intellectual culture that combined respect for Islamic tradition with engagement in global intellectual developments.

However, the spread of New Method schools was not without controversy. Conservative religious authorities often viewed the reforms with suspicion, fearing that the introduction of secular subjects and new teaching methods could undermine traditional religious authority. Furthermore, colonial administrative policies sometimes imposed restrictions on independent educational initiatives. Despite these difficulties, the influence of New Method schools continued to grow in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. By the early 20th century, they had become an important part of urban intellectual life in many parts of Central Asia.

This article examines the historical development and broader significance of New Method schools in Central Asia. The study aims to understand how educational reform became a central component of the Jadid movement and how these schools contributed to broader processes of cultural and intellectual transformation. By examining both the pedagogical innovations and the social impact of these institutions, the study aims to gain a deeper understanding of the role of education in the modernization of Central Asian societies.

Literature Review. Over the past few decades, scholarly interest in the Jadid movement and the transformation of education in Central Asia has grown significantly. Historians and scholars of Islamic intellectual history increasingly recognize educational reforms as part of broader cultural, social, and political processes in various countries. The Jadid movement, which emerged in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, is analyzed not only as a stage of educational reform but also as a comprehensive intellectual and cultural project that sought to reconcile tradition with modernity. This growing body of research highlights the centrality of education as a tool for social change, literacy expansion, and the cultivation of a modern intellectual elite.

One of the most influential contributions to this field is the work of Adeeb Khalid, whose research emphasizes the central role of cultural and educational reform within the Jadid movement. Khalid argues that Jadidism should be understood primarily as an intellectual and cultural project rather than a purely political one. According to his interpretation, educational reform was at the core of Jadid ideology because reformers believed that social transformation could only occur through the creation of a new educated public. By introducing innovative pedagogical methods, structured curricula, and secular subjects alongside traditional Islamic instruction, the Jadids aimed to produce citizens capable of engaging with contemporary social, economic, and political challenges. Khalid's work underscores how education functioned as both a practical and symbolic tool for cultural renewal, shaping the values, knowledge, and worldviews of emerging urban populations.

Other scholars have approached the subject from complementary perspectives. Edward Allworth's research on Central Asian intellectual history highlights the broader impact of Russian imperial rule on local reform movements. Allworth demonstrates that encounters with imperial institutions stimulated debates about modernization, identity, and the role of education in shaping social progress. His analysis reveals that the Jadid movement was not an isolated phenomenon but

developed in response to larger geopolitical and cultural forces, including the pressures of colonial administration, the spread of literacy, and exposure to European pedagogical models. By situating Jadid educational reforms within the context of imperial influence, Allworth provides a nuanced understanding of how local intellectuals navigated complex dynamics between tradition and modernity. Research by Marianne Kamp has further expanded scholarly discussions by examining the social dimensions of educational reform, particularly the impact of the Jadid movement on gender relations and family structures. Kamp demonstrates that the movement's emphasis on literacy and education extended beyond male students to include initiatives aimed at educating girls, challenging traditional gender norms and fostering broader societal modernization. This perspective underscores the intersection between educational reform and social transformation, illustrating how New-Method schools were not merely sites of academic instruction but also arenas where debates about gender, social roles, and modernization unfolded. Shoshana Keller's work on Soviet educational policies provides additional historical context by tracing the influence of early Jadid initiatives on later reforms during the Soviet period. Keller argues that many aspects of Soviet educational modernization in Central Asia—such as standardized curricula, expanded literacy campaigns, and the inclusion of secular subjects—were built upon foundations established by Jadid reformers. Her research demonstrates the long-term legacy of the movement, showing how pedagogical innovations introduced by the Jadids persisted and were adapted under new political and ideological conditions.

Despite the significant contributions of these scholars, there remains a need for continued analysis of New-Method schools themselves as functioning educational institutions. Much of the existing literature focuses on the ideological and intellectual dimensions of Jadidism, while less attention has been given to the practical implementation of reforms, classroom practices, and the everyday experiences of teachers and students. Examining the institutional organization,

teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and social reception of these schools offers a more comprehensive understanding of how reformist ideas were operationalized. Such an approach allows scholars to assess both the successes and limitations of the movement, providing insight into the relationship between educational innovation, cultural transformation, and societal change.

By focusing on the pedagogical practices and institutional development of New-Method schools, researchers can better understand how reformist concepts were translated into concrete educational practices. This perspective illuminates the ways in which education served as a vehicle for social mobility, cultural negotiation, and civic engagement. In turn, this approach helps contextualize the broader role of education in Central Asian history, revealing how the interplay between intellectual reform, pedagogy, and social transformation shaped the trajectory of the region during a critical period of modernization. Ultimately, continued scholarship on New-Method schools enriches our understanding of the complex dynamics between culture, knowledge, and societal change in Central Asia.

Methods. The present study employs a qualitative historical methodology to examine the development, implementation, and societal impact of New-Method schools in Central Asia. Historical research methods are particularly well suited to this investigation because they allow for an in-depth exploration of educational reforms within their broader social, cultural, and political contexts. By focusing on the historical trajectory of these schools, the study seeks to illuminate not only their pedagogical characteristics but also their wider significance in the processes of intellectual modernization, social mobility, and cultural transformation.

The analysis draws on a combination of primary and secondary sources. Primary materials include early textbooks, educational manuals, lesson plans, and contemporary publications produced by leading Jadid intellectuals. These documents provide invaluable insight into the pedagogical approaches, instructional strategies, and educational philosophies that guided the establishment and operation

of New-Method schools. They reveal the ways in which reformers sought to balance traditional Islamic educational values with innovative teaching methods designed to enhance comprehension, critical thinking, and practical skills. In particular, the use of phonetic reading methods, structured curricula, and illustrations in textbooks reflects a conscious effort to engage students more actively and to foster intellectual curiosity beyond rote memorization. Secondary sources consist of modern historical studies on Central Asian intellectual history, Islamic educational reform, and the Jadid movement. These works offer crucial contextual information, situating the development of New-Method schools within the broader historical processes of modernization, colonial influence, and social reform. By integrating the insights of contemporary scholarship with the analysis of historical documents, the study achieves a nuanced understanding of the social, cultural, and political factors that shaped educational innovation in Central Asia. Secondary sources also provide critical evaluations of the long-term impact of Jadid reforms, enabling the identification of patterns and outcomes that might not be apparent from primary materials alone.

Comparative analysis is employed as a central methodological tool to highlight the differences between traditional educational institutions, such as maktabas and madrasas, and the New-Method schools established by Jadid reformers. Attention is given to distinctions in teaching methods, curriculum design, classroom organization, and educational objectives. While traditional schools emphasized memorization of religious texts and rigid disciplinary practices, New-Method schools prioritized understanding, active engagement, and the incorporation of secular subjects including mathematics, geography, history, and science. Comparative analysis illuminates how these differences not only transformed the learning experience for students but also contributed to broader social and cultural change, influencing the emergence of a more literate, informed, and socially engaged population.

Thematic analysis is also employed to identify recurring patterns and motifs related to literacy development, intellectual transformation, and social change. By examining these themes across multiple primary and secondary sources, the study reconstructs the broader significance of educational reform within Central Asian society. Particular attention is given to patterns of access, including efforts to include girls and students from diverse social backgrounds, the promotion of vernacular language education, and the dissemination of educational ideas through print culture. Thematic analysis allows the study to trace the ways in which educational innovation intersected with issues of cultural identity, civic engagement, and social mobility.

Furthermore, the study considers the historical and geopolitical context in which New-Method schools emerged. The late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries were a period of significant social and political transformation in Central Asia, shaped by imperial Russian influence, local cultural traditions, and global currents of thought. Methodologically, situating New-Method schools within this context provides a deeper understanding of how educational reform functioned not only as a pedagogical innovation but also as a vehicle for negotiating cultural modernity, social aspirations, and the complex interactions between tradition and change. In conclusion, the qualitative historical approach, combining primary source analysis, secondary scholarship, comparative methodology, and thematic exploration, provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the development and impact of New-Method schools in Central Asia. This methodological design allows the study to reconstruct both the immediate educational innovations introduced by Jadid reformers and the broader societal transformations that their schools helped to catalyze, offering insight into the enduring legacy of these pioneering institutions.

Results. The historical analysis reveals several important characteristics of the emergence and development of New-Method schools. These findings highlight the

extent to which educational reform was connected to broader cultural and intellectual transformations in Central Asia.

One of the most significant features of the new schools was their innovative approach to literacy education. Traditional maktab education relied heavily on memorization of religious texts, often without ensuring that students fully understood the material they were reciting. The phonetic method introduced by Jadid educators represented a major departure from this model. By teaching students to associate letters with sounds, teachers enabled them to develop reading skills much more rapidly. This pedagogical innovation had far-reaching consequences. Students could acquire basic literacy within a relatively short period of time, which made education more efficient and accessible. As literacy expanded, so too did the potential for broader intellectual engagement within society. Another important feature of New-Method schools was the introduction of a more structured classroom environment. Lessons were organized according to fixed schedules, and students were grouped according to their level of knowledge. This systematic approach differed significantly from the more informal structure of traditional maktab education. The curriculum of the new schools also reflected the reformist aspirations of Jadid intellectuals. In addition to religious instruction, students were exposed to subjects such as mathematics, geography, and history. These subjects were intended to provide students with practical knowledge and a broader understanding of the world beyond their immediate communities.

The introduction of printed textbooks and teaching materials further enhanced the effectiveness of the new educational system. The spread of printing technology allowed reformers to produce standardized educational materials, which contributed to the expansion of modern education across the region.

Perhaps most importantly, the growth of New-Method schools contributed to the emergence of a new intellectual class. Graduates of these institutions often became teachers, journalists, writers, and public intellectuals. Through newspapers,

literary works, and public discussions, they played a central role in shaping the cultural and intellectual life of early twentieth-century Central Asia.

Discussion. The findings of this study suggest that New-Method schools played a pivotal role in the broader process of modernization in Central Asia. By transforming educational practices, these institutions created new avenues for intellectual development and social mobility, offering students not only literacy but also access to secular knowledge and analytical thinking skills. Unlike traditional maktabas, which prioritized rote memorization of Qur'anic texts, Jadid schools introduced phonetic reading methods, structured curricula, and diverse subject matter, including geography, mathematics, natural sciences, and history. These pedagogical innovations reflected a profound shift in the philosophy of education, emphasizing understanding and critical engagement rather than passive repetition. By encouraging active participation in learning, New-Method schools fostered intellectual curiosity and a sense of agency among students. The classroom environment, which often included discussion, problem-solving, and visual aids such as maps and illustrations, promoted the development of reasoning skills and a broader worldview. This pedagogical transformation facilitated the emergence of a modern intellectual elite in Central Asian urban centers, who subsequently became teachers, writers, journalists, and reformers. In this sense, the schools were not merely educational institutions but also incubators of social leadership and cultural renewal.

At the same time, the development of New-Method schools illuminated broader social tensions in Central Asia. Reformist intellectuals endeavored to reconcile respect for Islamic tradition with engagement in modern knowledge, a balancing act that often provoked debates between supporters of reform and defenders of traditional educational practices. Conservative religious authorities sometimes resisted the inclusion of secular subjects, fearing that such content could undermine religious authority and moral values. These tensions highlight the delicate interplay

between continuity and change within a society undergoing rapid modernization, where educational reform became a focal point for negotiating cultural identity. The influence of New-Method schools extended beyond the classroom and into the broader public sphere. By promoting literacy, printed textbooks, and educational journals, the schools facilitated the circulation of ideas about culture, social reform, and governance. Students who emerged from these institutions often became active participants in public discourse, contributing to newspapers, periodicals, and literary societies. This expansion of intellectual activity fostered a more engaged citizenry and created spaces in which debates about political autonomy, national identity, and social progress could occur. In this way, the schools functioned as catalysts for both cultural and civic transformation.

Moreover, the schools' emphasis on vernacular language education contributed to the consolidation of linguistic and cultural identity, reinforcing the role of education in nation-building processes. The introduction of modern curricula in local Turkic languages enabled a wider population to access knowledge and participate in public life, bridging urban and rural educational divides and challenging longstanding social hierarchies. The inclusion of girls in some New-Method schools further demonstrated the reformers' commitment to expanding educational opportunities and promoting social equity, signaling a nascent form of gender-inclusive modernization. The interaction between educational reform and political developments also warrants attention. The emergence of New-Method schools coincided with the late Russian imperial period and, later, the early Soviet era, exposing reformers to new administrative structures, literacy campaigns, and ideological frameworks. While some aspects of the Soviet educational project aligned with the goals of the Jadid movement, ideological constraints ultimately diverged from the reformers' vision of cultural autonomy and intellectual freedom. Despite these challenges, the pedagogical principles introduced by the New-Method

schools persisted, influencing subsequent generations of educators and contributing to the modernization of Central Asian education well into the twentieth century.

In summary, the New-Method schools exemplified how education could serve as a powerful mechanism for social, cultural, and intellectual transformation. By combining respect for tradition with engagement in modern knowledge, these schools helped cultivate critical thinking, foster public discourse, and promote literacy and social mobility. They illustrate the transformative potential of educational reform in societies negotiating the complex dynamics of modernization, identity, and cultural continuity.

Conclusion. The emergence of New-Method schools represented a turning point in the history of education in Central Asia. Through the introduction of innovative teaching methods and expanded curricula, Jadid reformers sought to create an educational system capable of responding to the challenges of the modern era. These schools contributed significantly to the spread of literacy, the development of intellectual life, and the formation of new cultural and social identities. Although their development was sometimes limited by political and social constraints, their long-term influence on the region's intellectual history remains undeniable. Understanding the history of New-Method schools therefore provides valuable insight into the broader processes of cultural transformation and modernization that shaped Central Asian societies during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

References

- 1.Khalid, Adeeb. The Politics of Muslim Cultural Reform: Jadidism in Central Asia. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998, p.312.
- 2.Allworth, Edward. Central Asia: A Century of Russian Rule. Durham: Duke University Press, 1994, p.348.
- 3.Kamp, Marianne. The New Woman in Uzbekistan: Islam, Modernity, and Unveiling under Communism. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 2006, p.248.

- 4.Keller, Shoshana. To Moscow, Not Mecca: The Soviet Campaign Against Islam in Central Asia. Westport: Praeger, 2001, p.276.
- 5.Carrère d'Encausse, Hélène. Islam and the Russian Empire: Reform and Revolution in Central Asia. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1988, p.301.
- 6.Levi, Scott C. The Rise and Fall of Khoqand 1709–1876: Central Asian History in Context. London: Routledge, 2017, p.364.
- 7.Sartori, Paolo. Visions of Justice: Judicial Processes and Social Change in Central Asia. Leiden: Brill, 2010, p.290.
- 8.DeWeese, Devin. Islamization and Native Religion in the Golden Horde: Baba Tukles and Conversion to Islam in Historical Context. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1994, p.320.
- 9.Abashin, Sergei. Nationalism in Central Asia: Politics and Identity in Post-Soviet States. London: Routledge, 2010, p.265.
- 10.Penati, Beatrice. Studies on Central Asian Social History: Society, Economy, and Culture in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries. Rome: Sapienza University Press, 2012, p.280.